

PRD No.	SWG comment #	SWG comment	Our response to SWG comment
1	MSOffice1	This does not preclude from issuing the standard in a livelier format.	We modified our response
4	MSOffice2	I found only one instance of “digital geol*” on line 530	We modified our response
5	MSOffice3	Why?	We expanded our response
6	MSOffice4	Expand response: USBR Engineering Geology Office Manual is mentioned on line 378 of the final draft; however, it is noted that the USBR document was “somewhat specialized and limited in their coverage of geologic map features”	We expanded our response
8	MSOffice5	Change reply to note that this would result in a wrong reference for this website	We expanded our response
13	MSOffice6	Explain why	We expanded our response
16	MSOffice7	Explain why you made this decision	We expanded our response
19	MSOffice8	If the citation to the table is numbered then “Table” should be capitalized	We changed our response
29	MSOffice9	This reply really doesn’t answer the proposal that “you might consider accommodation for symbology in areas where “long” contacts are not exposed.” Direct reviewer to appropriate symbology	We expanded our response
35	MSOffice10	To what?	We changed our response
40	MSOffice11	Explain why. Reviewer wanted accurate age ranges.	We disagree with this SWG comment -- we interpret the reviewer's suggestion to mean that s/he wanted to be sure that <i>if age ranges are given</i> , they should be accurate. We have omitted the age ranges entirely, thus eliminating the possibility of inaccurate age ranges
46	MSOffice12	Explain why this is beyond the scope of this document?	We expanded our response
50	MSOffice13	It might be best to use “No longer applicable” to preface these remarks.	We modified our response
59	MSOffice14	This is not a limiting factor for including the symbols in the main part., but you needn’t reorganize the standard.	We modified our response
70	MSOffice15	There is no explanation why these features are treated differently.	We expanded our response
75	MSOffice16	This does not answer why you don’t use “U” and “D” for reverse faults.	We <i>do</i> use "U" and "D" for reverse faults [see Ref. No. 2.11.3] -- we clarified our response
77	MSOffice17	However, you don’t explain why you retain the “R” symbol	We expanded our response
85	MSOffice18	Omit “we oppose” State “Providing detailed definitions is beyond the scope of this standard” and explain why it is beyond the scope.	We expanded our response
86	MSOffice19	??? They appear shorter to me.	We expanded our response
86	MSOffice20	Why not?	We expanded our response
89	MSOffice21	As you note on lines 808-810 of the response, “The age of a fault also can be specified as a feature attribute in the geologic map database, but rarely is this characteristic symbolized on the geologic map; if desired, such information can be communicated through the addition of geologic point data or annotation placed along the trace of the fault.” This should be included in the response	We modified our response
105	MSOffice22	Explain why	We expanded our response
118	MSOffice23	Explain why	We expanded our response
125	MSOffice24	Explain why	We expanded our response
126	MSOffice25	ditto	We expanded our response
134	MSOffice26	This comment should be rejected, but the reviewer should be provided a reason	We expanded our response
137	MSOffice27	Change to “Rejected – adding labels is optional and not part of this standard.	We modified our response
142	MSOffice28	Explain why	We expanded our response
147	MSOffice29	Explain why you deleted symbol rather than accept reviewers’ comment	We expanded our response
150	MSOffice30	Explain why you decided to delete symbol rather than accept reviewers’ comments.	We expanded our response
157	MSOffice31	Again, explain why	We expanded our response
159	MSOffice32	Why did you select this symbol over the proposed symbol?	We expanded our response
171	MSOffice33	What relevance does this response have to the issue raised by the reviewer?	We modified our response
173	MSOffice34	Explain why	We expanded our response
174	MSOffice35	This does not response to reviewer’s comment.	We expanded our response
179	MSOffice36	Again, explain why	We expanded our response
182	MSOffice37	I didn’t find this note on page A-13-2	We modified our response
194	MSOffice38	Is this an adequate response to reviewers’ comment?	We expanded our response
201	MSOffice39	Is the symbol in question limited to hummocks surrounded by scarps?	We expanded our response
220	MSOffice40	Does not appear to answer reviewers’ proposal to add symbol for deep mine	We modified our response

227	MSOffice41	Clay adits are not specifically addressed.	We disagree with this SWG comment -- we interpret the reviewer's suggestion to mean that s/he wanted a second type of adit symbol in order to be able to show two types of adits. We <i>did</i> add a second type of adit symbol -- our source was PGSMiscSymbols.pdf
228	MSOffice42	Explain why. Elsewhere you address Locational accuracy of features.	We expanded our response
236	MSOffice43	There is no 19.4.31	We corrected typo
241	MSOffice44	Explain how deleting symbol responds to reviewers' comment.	We expanded our response
243	MSOffice45	Explain why	We expanded our response
248	MSOffice46	But did you follow through on the proposal and consult a karst expert?	No, we did not -- we lack the resources to research suggestions for which no symbol example was provided
267	MSOffice47	The comment has no reference to FIPS code	We modified our response
285	MSOffice48	???	We modified our response
289	MSOffice49	See my comment on page 1	We expanded our response